

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
11  
12 v.  
13 GEORGE GARCIA,  
14 Defendant.

CASE NO. 12cr3890 JM  
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
REDUCE SENTENCE UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

15  
16 In an opposed motion, Defendant George Garcia (“Defendant”) moves to reduce  
17 his sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2). For the reasons set forth below, the  
18 court denies the motion for reduction of sentence.

19 **BACKGROUND**

20 On November 27, 2012, Defendant pleaded guilty to a one count Information  
21 charging him with importation of 8.24 kilograms of methamphetamine into the United  
22 States from Mexico. In the plea agreement, the parties agreed to a base offense level  
23 38, plus 2-levels for importation of methamphetamine, minus 2-levels for safety valve,  
24 minus 3-levels for acceptance of responsibility, and minus 4-levels for fast track  
25 departure.

26 The Presentence Report (“PSR”) identified a Base Offense Level of 36. The  
27 probation officer who drafted the PSR also indicated that he had yet to receive the DEA  
28 laboratory analysis identifying the purity level of the methamphetamine. Consequently,

1 the probation officer recommended the Base Offense Level 36.

2 On February 28, 2013, the court sentenced Defendant to 97 months in custody.

3 Before consideration of any defense request for departure, the court stated:

4 Starting with the advisory guidelines, the base offense level is a 38. It is  
5 increased by two levels because of the importation of methamphetamine  
6 in this case. There would be a two-level reduction for safety valve, a  
7 three-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, and a  
8 four-level departure under 5K3.1. Before consideration of any requests  
9 of the defense, the total offense level would be a 31, the criminal history  
10 score is a zero, which is a category I, and the advisory guideline range  
11 would be 108 to 135 months.

12 (R.T. 14:3-13). The Base Offense Level of 38 was based on the then existing  
13 Guidelines for 1.5 kilograms of actual methamphetamine. At the time of the sentencing  
14 hearing, the parties had received the DEA lab report showing that the  
15 methamphetamine weighed 8.032 kilograms with 98.8% purity, making the substance  
16 7.935 kilograms of actual methamphetamine. (R.T. 3:9-23). Ultimately, the court  
17 granted a one-level departure for a combination of circumstances, reducing the  
18 advisory guideline range to 97 to 121 months.

## 19 DISCUSSION

### 20 Legal Standard

21 In general, a federal court cannot modify a term of imprisonment once it has  
22 been imposed. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). However, one exception to the general rule  
23 permits the district court to modify an imposed sentence where the sentencing guideline  
24 range has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission. 18 U.S.C.  
25 §3582(c)(2). Section 3582(c)(2) requires a two-step inquiry. Dillon v. United States,  
26 560 U.S. 817, 826 (2010). First, the district court must determine that a modification  
27 is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission,  
28 namely U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10. Then, and only then, does the district court go on to the  
second step of considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and deciding in  
its discretion whether the authorized reduction is warranted. Id. at 827.

Amendment 782 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines revised the

1 guidelines applicable to drug-trafficking offenses by reducing the Base Offense Level  
2 by two levels for most drug offenses listed in the Drug Quantities Tables at U.S.S.G.  
3 §§ 2D1.1 and 2D1.11. See U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual app. C, amend. 782  
4 (2014); see also U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(d), (e)(1) (making Amendment 782 apply  
5 retroactively to previously-sentenced defendants). When determining whether a  
6 reduction is warranted, the district court must determine what the defendant's advisory  
7 guideline range would be under the amendment. In doing so, the district court “shall  
8 substitute only the amendments . . . for the corresponding guideline provisions that  
9 were applied when the defendant was sentenced and shall leave all other guideline  
10 application decisions unaffected.” Id. § 1B1.10(b)(1). Eligibility for a § 3582(c)(2)  
11 reduction is triggered only if an amendment lowers the “applicable guideline range  
12 (i.e., the guideline range that corresponds to the offense level and criminal history  
13 category determined pursuant to § 1B1.1(a), which is determined before consideration  
14 of any departure provision in the Guidelines Manual or any variance ).” Id. cmt. n.1(A)  
15 (second emphasis added).

16 The court is not authorized to reduce a defendant's term of imprisonment if an  
17 amendment does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline  
18 range. Id. § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B), cmt. n. 1(A). The court also cannot reduce the  
19 defendant's sentence lower than the low end of the amended guideline range. Id. cmt.  
20 n.3. The only exception is if the defendant received a downward departure based on  
21 substantial assistance to the government, in which case the court may reduce the  
22 defendant's sentence below the low end of the amended guideline range by a  
23 comparable percentage. Id.

#### 24 **Application of Amendment 782**


25 At the time of the original sentencing, the court applied a Base Offense Level of  
26 38, using the then applicable version of the §2D1.1 Drug Quantity Table, based upon  
27 the drug quantity of more than 1.5 kilograms of actual methamphetamine, and then  
28 adjusting the offense level as identified above to reach an adjusted offense level of 30.

1 As set forth in the DEA lab report and, as discussed at the time of sentencing, the total  
2 amount of actual methamphetamine at issue was 7.935 kilograms. (R.T. 3:3-23).  
3 Amendment 782 did lower the Base Offense Level for amounts of actual  
4 methamphetamine of at least 1.5 kilograms, but less than 4.5 kilograms of  
5 methamphetamine. For amounts of actual methamphetamine of 4.5 kilograms or more,  
6 the Base Offense Level remains at 38. U.S.S.G. §2D1.1. As the guideline range has  
7 not been reduced for drug quantities of 4.5 kilograms or more of actual  
8 methamphetamine, Defendant is not entitled to a further reduction in his sentence.  
9 Accordingly, the court concludes that a reduction under 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2) and  
10 USSG §1B1.10 is not warranted.

11 In sum, the motion for reduction of sentence pursuant to Amendment 782 is  
12 denied.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 DATED: May 17, 2017

  
Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller  
United States District Judge

15  
16 cc: All parties  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28